



Problem Description



 $(x_2 \text{ can also be the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) indicator based on <math>x_1$. In such cases, the problem can be reduced to that of univariate time series forecasting.)

Challenges:

- Long-range dependencies.
- Rare but important extreme values.

Goal:

- > An end-to-end model concurrently learns extreme and normal prediction functions.
- > Long sequence forecasting (predicted length = 288).

Dataset:

- > Four groups of hydrologic datasets from Santa Clara County, CA. Over 31 years of sensor data, 1,104,904 values.
- Namely, Ross, Saratoga, UpperPen, and SFC, named after their respective locations.
- Each group included a streamflow dataset and an associated rainfall dataset.

Extreme Events



	Ross	Saratoga	UpperPen	SFC	
min	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
max	1440.00	2210.00	830.00	7200.00	
mean	2.91	5.77	6.66	20.25	
skewness	19.84	19.50	13.42	18.05	
kurtosis	523.16	697.78	262.18	555.18	

High skewness and kurtosis scores indicate that there is significant deviation from a normal distribution in our data!

Motivation

Achieving the best overall prediction performance, without sacrificing either the quality of normal or of extreme predictions.

Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)

• DAN fram Neural net dynamicall
Time feat Input sequence
 DAN's end-to stages, name RepGen or resulting in inputs and These ele stack.
 Examines medians. The data a calculated differences A distribution Over-samp set.

Learning from Polar Representation: An Extreme-Adaptive Model for Long-Term Time Series Forecasting Yanhong Li, Jack Xu, David C. Anastasiu

Proposed Framework

nework: Distance-weighted Auto-regularized twork (DAN) uses expandable blocks to Ily facilitate long-term prediction.



o-end extendable framework consists of two ed RepGen and RepMerg:

contains three parallel encoder-decoder blocks, in polar representations of ordinary series d refined indicators.

ements are further merged in the RepMerg

Kruskal-Wallis Test

$$H = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{R_j^2}{n_j} - 3(n+1).$$

k groups of sub series based on their

are first ranked, and the sum of ranks is for each group. The H value is then to determine if there are significant s between the groups.

tion-free test, not assume a particular

pling regions with extreme events into training



ack:

"f-ED": representation learning of those points that are far away from the mean of the series \hat{y}_{f} . "n-ED": representation of near points \hat{y}_n . "i-ED": learn the indicator \hat{y}_i

CONV-LSTM layers:

> Shorten the input sequence. Alleviate potential exploding or vanishing gradient.

Indicator Refine Layer:

> Made of 2×CNN. Assist in refining the expected indicator representation.

Gate control vector



Another way to hone predicted indicator:

- M_{far} is equal to sigmoid($\alpha * \hat{y}_i$), where $\alpha = 4$ in our experiments, $m_{near} = 1 - m_{far}$.
- Doing the component-wise multiplication with predicted far values \hat{y}_f and near values \hat{y}_n .
- Let to \hat{y}_w to approach | tanh(y) | * y.

Auto-regularized Loss Function

- $\mathcal{L}_1 = RMSE((\hat{y}_f \odot w_f), (y \odot w_f)),$ $\mathcal{L}_2 = RMSE((\hat{y}_n \odot w_n), (y \odot w_n)),$ $\mathcal{L}_3 = RMSE(\hat{y}_w \odot w_p, y \odot w_p),$
- $\mathcal{L}_4 = RMSE(\hat{y}_i \odot w_p, y_i \odot w_p),$ where \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are used to regulate the bipolar representation learning and \mathcal{L}_3 and \mathcal{L}_4 force the predicted indicator to reflect the change of predicted values by setting y_i equal to the first order of y. Then, the overall loss is composed as,

 $\mathcal{L} = RMSE(\hat{y}, y) + \lambda \times (\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4),$

experiments) applied on those regulation items, decreasing with each epoch.

Motivations:

- > Multiple distance-weighted loss functions with the objective of compelling the model to learn more informative representations.
- > Serve as an effective regularizer for preventing overfitting in the long-term time series prediction task.

Baselines

- DNN-U: univariate LSTM-based encoder-decoder hydrologic model.
- Attention-LSTM: a state-of-the-art hydrologic model used to predict stream-flow.
- N-BEATS: outperformed all competitors on the standard M3, M4 and TOURISM datasets.
- FEDFormer.
- InFormer.
- NLinear.
- DLinear.



where λ is a multiplier ($\lambda = max(-1 \cdot e^{\frac{epoch}{45}} + 2, 0.2)$ in our

Research Questions

- What is the effect of DAN's extensible framework?
- What is the effect of the Kruskal-Wallis oversampling policy?
- How do the critical design elements of the framework affect performance?

Effects of Proposed Methods

• Effects of DAN's extensible framework.

We experimented with various combinations and identified the best results as "EDEDRR", "EDR", "EDEDRR", and "EDEDR" for Ross, Saratoga, UpperPen, and SFC, respectively.

• Effects of Kruskal-Wallis oversampling policy.



Maintain the p value and increase the ε value, the training set will contain more samples with H values exceeding ε .

• Effects of the critical design elements of the framework.



Evaluation

Table 2: Multivariate/Univariate Long-Term (h = 288) Series Forecasting Results on Four Datasets

Methods	Metric	Ross		Saratoga		UpperPen		
		Multi	Single	Multi	Single	Multi	Single	Mult
FEDformer	RMSE	6.01	6.49	6.01	6.85	3.05	2.38	23.54
	MAPE	$\overline{2.10}$	2.49	1.55	2.26	1.87	1.02	2.35
Informer	RMSE	7.84	9.14	5.04	4.89	5.88	5.33	39.89
	MAPE	4.05	5.45	1.43	0.73	4.10	4.21	8.64
Nlinear	RMSE	6.10	5.84	5.23	4.98	1.57	1.74	18.47
	MAPE	<u>1.99</u>	1.62	0.83	0.75	0.45	0.57	<u>0.92</u>
Dlinear	RMSE	7.16	6.90	4.33	4.06	3.53	3.25	21.62
	MAPE	3.10	2.79	1.40	1.31	2.35	2.04	2.74
NEC+	RMSE	9.44	9.33	1.88	1.95	2.22	1.94	17.00
	MAPE	4.80	4.53	0.17	0.21	0.95	0.80	1.07
LSTM-Atten /	RMSE	7.35	5.16	6.49	3.60	6.35	1.23	34.17
NBeats	MAPE	3.74	1.25	1.80	0.70	4.76	0.25	9.90
DAN	RMSE	4.25	4.24	1.80	1.84	1.10	1.31	15.23
	MAPE	0.07	0.09	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.32	0.26



Contact Information: danastasiu@scu.edu